(b) if so, what are the details in this regard; and decision, if any, taken in the matter ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM) : (a) and (b) The National Housing Policy already laid on the Table of the two Houses envisages that Govt, would encourage housing schemes with approved guidelines in major cities to channel investment of Non-Resident Indians and would extend appropriate incentives including speedy clearance by Central, State and local Governments to stimulate a sus-aimed and large in-flow of such investment The State Govts, have been advised to formulate action plans for the implementation of National Housing Policy as a whole.

Separate Funds for Development of *B' Class Cities

1951. SHRI ISH DUTT YADAV : SHRI RAM GOPAL YADAV :

Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state :

(a) whether Government have allotted separate funds made any provision for the Development of 'B' class cities and other cities/towns of U.P. and other northern states; and

(b) if so, the details thereof staring the funds allotted to such States

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM) : (a) and (b) The Centrally sponsored scheme of Integrated Development of Small and Medium Towns (IDSMT) was introduced in the 6th Plan during the year 1979-80. The Scheme was applicable to the towns having population upto one lakh. The towns having population upto 3 lakh and recommended by the National Commission on Urbanisation (NCU) were also covered. As per existing guidelines of IDSMT Scheme, 517 towns including 175 towns recommended by the NCU were covered and Central assistance amounting to Rs. 176.17 crores released upto 31-3-1992 to all the States and Union Territories in the country.

The details of the towns covered under the IDSMT Scheme and the amount of Central assistance released to the towns in Uttar Pradesh and other northern States during the period 1979-80 to 31-3-1992 is given in the Statmenet. (See below)

Central Government has introduced a new Centrally sponsored accelerated Urban water supply programme in the Eighth Plan (1992—97) to provide Central Assistance to the State Governments for urban water supply schemes in towns having population less than 20,000 (as per 1991 census) on the basis of Centre to State share of 50:50. The scheme is proposed to be initiated from Annual Plan 1993-94.

Statement

Town-wise details of Central assistance released under IDSMT Scheme to Uttar Pradesh and Other Northern States (From 1979-80 *till* 31-3-92).

S. No.	Name of State/Town	Amount Released (Rs. in Lakhs)
	BIHAR	
1.	Hajipur	42.180
2.	Gopalganj	40.150
3.	Saharasa	36.040
4.	Daltonganj	37.000
5.	Chapra	20 000
6.	Dumka	18.500
7.	Chaibasa	30.500
8.	Benusarat	40.000
9.	Deoghar	40.000
10.	Arrah	39.000
11.	Hazaribagh	44.200
12.	Bettiah	40.870
13.	Giridih	45.700
14.	Dhanbad	42.860
15.	Katihar	37.700
16.	Siwan	51.220
17.	Kisanganj	31.430
18.	Sitamarhi	10 500
19.	Buxar	20 000
20.	Purnia	25 860
21.	Nawadah	44 390
22.	Bodhgaya	20 000
23.	Madhubani	29.545
24.	Biharsharif	29.750
25.	Darbhanga	29.750
26.	Jahanabad	27.500
27.	Sahibganj	20.000
28.	Banka	15.000
	Total :	909.645

S. No.	Name of State/Town	Amount Released (Rs. in lakh)
HARYANA		
1.	Ambala	39.000
2.	Sirsa	39.000
3.	Sohana	35.000
4.	Karnal	40.000
5.	Kurukshetra	40.000
6.	Hissar	35.000
7.	Bhiwani	20.000
8.	Shahabad	38.000
9.	Jind	29.750
10.	Sonipat	29.750
	Total :	345.500
HIMACHAL PRADESH		
1.	Kasumpti (Simla)	34.780
2.	Mandi	1.700
3.	Hamirpur	20.000
	Total :	56.480
JAMMU & KASHMIR		
1.	Anant Nag	36.580
2.	Kathua	20.000
3.	Leh	15.000
4.	Doda	27.500
	Total :	99.080
PUNJAB		
1.	Pathankot	50.500
2.	Hoshiarpur	50.500
3.	Sangroor	53.830
4.	Moga	50.500
5.	Phagwara	50.500
6.	Khanna	50.500
7.	Bhatinda	50.500
8.	Batala	53.830
9.	Barnala	60.600
10.	Kapurthala	59.960
11.	Gurdaspur	59.920
12.	Nabha	59.830
13.	Ropar	40.000
14.	Patiala	13.000
15.	Gobindgarh	29.750
16.	Ferozpur	20.000
	Total :	753.120

S. No.	Name of State/Town	Amount Released (Rs. in lakhs)
RAJASTHAN		
1.	Pali	50.180
2.	Baran	48.140
3.	Bhilwara	47.770
4.	Sikar	49.320
5.	Churu	49.460
6.	Sumerpur	47.110
7.	Nathdwara	47.870
8.	Barmer	45.100
9.	Ganganagar	49.320
10.	Jaisalmer	47.770
11.	Chittorgarh	50.310
12.	Jalore	54.400
13.	Sirohi	54.270
14.	Mount Abu	50.760
15.	Banswara	55.330
16.	Bhinmal	55.800
17.	Dongarpur	46.000
18.	Bharatpur	44.750
19.	Nagaur	27.500
20.	Pushkar	27.500
21.	Kishangarh	42.500
22.	Dause	20.000
23.	Dholpur	25.000
24.	Swai-Madhopur	25.000
	Total :	1061.160
UTTAR PRADESH		
1.	Jaunpur	41.800
2.	Fatehpur	41.600
3.	Azamgarh	39.000
4.	Hathras	39.000
5.	Banda	30.500
6.	Barabanki	40.000
7.	Raibareli	40.000
8.	Almora	36.000
9.	Etah	39.500
10.	Balia	40.000
11.	Mahoba	17.530
12.	Kasganj	22.000
13.	Gazipur	38.600
14.	Sitapur	44.200
15.	Mainpuri	39.000
16.	Hardoi	39.000
17.	Bijnor	36.750
18.	Orai	43.830
19.	Deoria	39.000
20.	Badaun	35.500
21.	Amethi	39.000
22.	Kashipur	39.000

S. No	Name of State/Town	Amount Released (Rs. in Lakhs)
	UTTAR PRADESH (*Contd.*)	
23.	Padrauna	39.000
24.	Sultanpur	53.000
25.	Biswan	33.250
26.	Shamli	30.000
27.	Badohi	25.500
28.	Mounath Bhanjan	25.900
29.	Shandila	27.000
30.	Pilibhit	15.000
31.	Baharaich	25.550
32.	Lalitpur	29.300
33.	Mirzapur	28.800
34.	Etawah	44.000
35.	Sambhal	20.000
36.	Haldwani	17.000
37.	Lakhimpur	27.500
38.	Sikandra Rao	10.000
39.	Konch	27.500
40.	Kairana	27.500
41.	Modi Nagar	25.000
42.	Jalesar	15.000
43.	Auraiya	4.000
44.	Roorkee	18.000
45.	Gonda	19.000
46.	Mawana	20.000
47.	Koshikalan	20·000
48.	Sikandarabad	15·000
49.	Bilaspur	20·000
50.	Mauranipur	20.000
51.	Chunar	20.000
52.	Muzzaffarnagar	20.000
	Total :	1542.610

Land Conversion Rates Fixed by D.D.A.

1952. SHRI VIREN J. SHAH : Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to refer to the reply to Unstarred Question 347 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 10th July, 1992 and state:

(a) whether Government have since taken any decMon regarding coriversidtt of lease-hold properties into free-hold unit's;

(b) if so, what are the details in thi9 regard; and

(c) if not, by when a decision is likely to be taken?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN f HE MINSTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM

(c) The matter is the consideration of the Government.

Construction of Houses under Indira Vikas Yojna

1953. SHRI CHATURANAN MISHRA : Will the Ministtr of URBAN DEVELOPMENT M pleased State :

(a) whether under the Indira Vikas Yojna, villagers of Asoh near Mehrauli is Delhi bad been ~~promised~~ plots of land on which houses would be constructed for them;

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) what steps are being taken to fulfil the promise regarding construction of houses 7

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN TUB MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT" (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM) : (a) to (c) fnformafum tf being collected and will Be Will on the Table of fne Sabha.

Allotment of Land to Group Housing Societies

1954. SHRI N. E. BALARAM :

SHRI GURUDAS DAS GUPTA :

Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOP-MENT be pleased to state:

(a) wnether it is a fact that the Delhi Devlopment *Authority has decided* to *Mot* land to some of the GroupHrsing *Sock-*ties who have not- been allotted laud so far;

(b) if so, the criteria being adopted in the selection of these societies and what is the decision regarding fee fematttag societies;

(c) whether it is also a fact that the Delhi development Authority has increased the land price oxhort>it«Htly that it was revised in 1989;

(d) if so, the details thereof and the reasons fSSrefof,

(e) whether the Delhi Oo-operathr* Group Housing Federation has protested [against the steep rise in the cost of laotf